(1) - SUPREME COURT, U.S.
FILED

992040 JUN 16 2000

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

☆☆☆☆☆☆☆☆☆☆☆☆☆☆☆

No. _____

InThe
UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT
AMERICA

October Term, 1999

In Re Dr. Eileen Vey

☆☆☆INTERGALACTIC RESCUE☆☆☆
MISSION

ONE UNIVERSAL LAW

LAW AND ORDER ESTABLISHED

Emergency Extraordinary Writ
Mandamus/Prohibition
CASE EXPEDITION REQUESTED

DR. EILEEN VEY, Th.D.
804 Cedar Avenue
Pittsburgh PA 15212
(412) 322-3131

☆☆☆☆☆☆☆☆☆☆☆☆☆☆☆

10 PP

QUESTION PRESENTED

I.

AGAIN, WILL EILEEN VEY'S ("VEY") CASE RESTORE LAW AND ORDER IN HER COUNTRY, ESTABLISH ONE HARMONIC LAW SYSTEM IN THE UNIVERSE, RECEIVE PRIMA FACIE HABEAS CORPUS AND MEDICAL INJUNCTION RELIEF JUSTICE FINALLY.

PARTIES INVOLVED

"We The People of The United States," Dr. Eileen Vey, Th.D., federal and state governments, including President Clinton and the U.S. Department of Justice.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SUBJECT	PAGE
Parties Involved	i
Table of Contents	ii
Emergency Extraordinary Writ Petition	1
Opinion Below	1
Jurisdiction (Original)	1
U.S. Constitutional Provision	2
Statement of Case	2
REASONS FOR GRANTING WRIT	2
CONCLUSION	5

☆☆

No. _____

In The
UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT
AMERICA

October Term, 1999

In Re: Eileen Vey

EMERGENCY EXTRAORDINARY WRIT PETITION
Mandamus/Prohibition
Case Expedition Requested

☆☆

The petitioner, Eileen Vey ("Vey"), hereby demands the granting of this Emergency Extraordinary Writ in her Universal Rescue Mission: Restoration of Law and Order in The United States, and establish ONE CONSISTANT UNIVERSAL LAW SYSTEM. Immediate case expedition requested!

OPINIONS BELOW

No relief has been granted for sixteen (16) years in the state court system and twelve (12) years in the federal court system and all other government systems in the U.S. and internationally.

JURISDICTION

Jurisdiction is envoked under The United States Constitution, Article III and Amendment 11, 28 USC §§ 1251 & 1651, Original Jurisdiction (Rule 17), and the Extraordinary Writ (Rule 20).

U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL & FEDERAL PROVISIONS

This Court has a responsibility of upholding The U.S. Constitution, under its Linchpin, ARTICLE VI (2), the Federal Supremacy Clause, and **Marburger v. Madison, Ginsburg v. U.S.**, against conflicting acts and lower laws, as well as Federal Laws in these anarchial and seditious times!

STATEMENT OF CASE

This case has original jurisdiction and extraordinary circumstances, because of the long years of international and national emergencies, which has excellerated this past year of 2000: national security of all nations, rebellion, lawlessness, et cetera. Since 1989, Dr. Eileen Vey has fought to curb this lawlessness of departing from our U.S. Constitutional Form of government, as well as our national security risks. Vey v. Casey

REASONS FOR GRANTING WRIT

Eileen Vey's petition for Emergency Extraordinary Writ of Mandamus/Prohibition of original jurisdiction international & national security risks, rebellion, lawlessness, conflicting laws, illegaly operated systems in America, unprosecuted crimes of high officials should be granted, because 1) relief cannot be obtained in any other court or in any other form, which 2) exceptional circumstances (above) involved warrant the exercise of this Court's discretionary powers, which 3) will be an aid to the appellate court, since these subjects new and out of jurisdiction, and 4) in re prima facie habeas corpus: adequate relief cannot be found, 16 years **obstruction of justice!**

I.
INTERNATIONAL & NATIONAL EMERGENCIES

An ever present international emergency is that of sheilds and deflectors against missile attack; rebellion and anachy worldwide, multiple foreign mafia infiltrations carrying chemical/biological weapons, as those carried from Kazakstan, an abandoned Russian bio-chem weapon plant where remains of

weapons found; computer hacker geniouses, who can interfere with international weaponry of missles, deflecters, etc.; international health system in reference to saving lives versus big business; health systems chemical and biological hazards, security risks (weaponry designing for highest bidder); cloning, etc.. AGAIN, THE NEED FOR ONE UNIVERSAL LAW!

II.
NATIONAL SECURITY

The continued national security risks: Los Alamos, NM, lost nuclear secrets missing (stolen or irresponsibility?), which shows how to make USA and Russian nuc warheads, C.I.A. security leaks, etc. Who is responsible for espionage? Is treason involved?

Our borders and ports continuously being bombarded by illegal aliens taking over U.S.A. and carrying what deseases?

The illegal operation of the White House: used as a bed and breakfast (payments), a Whore House as it became a Sodom and Gomorah, meeting place to collect money for elections from communists (China), et cetera.

The illegal operation of the Clinton administration: Arlington Cemetary scam, illegal operation of the U.S. Department of Justice (prejudicial prosecutions and assistances), continued national security violations and breaches (Los Alamos, NM and other data), with all in agreement with these and other matters to be listed latter, if granted. The list is long.

It is an urgency for this Court to decide: whether treason is involved with conflicting acts against our U.S. Constitutional form of government, other crimes, bench warrants, a Presidential fill-in, an election postponement is a necessity at this time.

There is an urgent need for prohibition order to stop high taxes to the people of this country losing their property, because of carpetbagging politicians running state to state raising taxes to high for incomes. High gas prices, chemical control: pesticides, etc.

The continued national lawlessness: recent New York Central Park mob attack on women, Americans, French, English, (lack of response of police).

III.
MANDAMUS TO U.S. LAWMAKERS

Because of the various outmoded, inconsistant laws in America, this Court should order our lawmakers to design a one harmonious law system, relevant to the times, but not at the expense of morality, because history proves that all great nations fell after morality degradation took place.

IV.
HEALTH CARE, HMO'S RECONSIDERATION

This Court should reconsider its latest decision of the HMO's and health care and peoples' lives at risk. Where do we draw the line with free trade, when peoples' lives are at risk? Tobacco's costly and devastating health hazards: should it be banned?

Vey needs Ex Parte Medical Emergency Injunction to Fort Wayne Orthopedics and MD's for treatment, MRI's, and therapy. HMO will pay for emergency: Vey in pain, which is reason for emergency according to the AMA.

V.
VEY'S PRIMA FACIE HABEAS CORPUS

Vey wants this Honorable Court to order Pennsylvania Court system to drop all charges against her (Mandamus/Prohibition), because for long years and lately she has proven that she is not responsible and innocent of Third Degree Homocide and Carrying a Firearm Without a License for reasons of 1) U.S. Constitution's Firearms carrying rights and PA state's, 18 PA SCA § 6101 (b), "exception clause" in the gun law provision, as she was living transient (wagon home), did not leave gun behind: children safety considered, 2) illegal search and seizure of it; 3) not responsible, "reasonable doubt," due to PTSD of the "Battered Wife Syndrome" and bipolar (PTSD similar symptoms) misdiagnosis 4) "blackout," amnesia evidence of "HEAT STROKE;" 5) Self Defense evidence stated by PA Superior Court and evidence; 6) witness testimony that deceased WENT DOWN BEFORE SHOT was heard (heart attack, hit, silencer); 7) Several men at extraordinary time of scene, Saturday 8AMish (Ambushed?); 8)another woman

same time dressed identically as Vey, according to witness testimony; 9) Mrs. Vey, defendant, was found at a hotel, Wlm Penn (was she even there: set up?); 10) testimony that several men at alleged crime scene tampeed with said scene; 11) where were deceased weapons that he always carried according to witnesses: evidence tampering; 12) the deceased body was immediately burned: he always wanted a big funeral; 13) Mrs. Vey was pointed out by detective to witness in small room, 14) gun powder test of Mrs. Vey hand was taken, but not submitted at Coroner's Inquest or trial: no powder? 15) Mrs. Vey was conveniently ID in Courtroom, while conveniently sitting by lawyer: was not appropriately identified; 16) Mrs. Vey denied "equal protection same reliefas Stonehouse (a cop) case involving a "Battered Girlfriend Syndrome;" 17) Mrs. Vey was diagnosed with syndrome concerning her husband's abusing her prior to trial, not after appellate court. Moreover, Dr. Eileen Vey was a trained minister without a record and received 15 years for 3RD Degree, while other received 5 years. The verdict was against the weight of evidence on behalf of Mrs. Eileen Vey of killing her husband.

Prima Facie Habeas Corpus is that in which evidence has been submitted without any evidence to refute it. This case was declared a prima facie case at the Coroner's Inquest, but it was not explained that the evidence was in favor of the defendant, Eileen Vey.

Therefore, Order state to drop charges against Dr. Vey. (5th time in state court). Federal law states that "repetitive petitions" need not be presented to state's highest court, as 16 years has been an **obstruction of justice.**

AFFIDAVIT (FURTHER EVIDENCE)

Eileen Vey certifies the above to be true re: habeas corpus!

_____ (Original signed)

WHEREFORE, the petitioner, Vey, requests that this Court grant the Extraordinary Writ, but further pleads that this Court immediately grant the PRIMA FACIE HABEAS CORPUS and Mandamus/Prohibition to PA Court to drop charges and prohibit double jeopardy, as she was found not guilty of 1ST Degree.

Respectfully submitted,

Eileen Vey

Appendix 1

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF ALLEGHENY COUNTY, PENNSYVANIA
CRIMINAL DIVISION

COMMONWEALTH OF PA)
vs.) Nos.
EILEEN VEY) CC8406363; CC8406555

ORDER OF COURT

AND NOW, this 29th day of March, 2000, it is hereby Ordered that the pro-se Post-Conviction relief Act Petition filed in the above-captioned matter is dismissed without a hearing for the reasons set forth in the Notice of Intention to Dismiss dated March 6, 2000. The Court has carefully considered Petitioner's pleadings, "Appeal from Common Pleas Court" and "Response to 3-6-00 Notice," and finds no basis for considering the Petition on its merits.

The Petitioner has the right to appeal to the Superior Court of Pennsylvania within 30 days of the entry of this Order.

ATTENTION: CLERK OF COURTS OF ALLEGHENY COUNTY

1. Mail a copy of this Order, by certified mail, return receipt, postage prepaid to: Eileen Vey, 804 Cedar Avenue, Pittsburgh, PA 15212.

BY COURT:

Judge Bigley

JUDGE GERARD M BIGLEY
COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
305 ALLEGHENY CO COURTHOUSE
436 GRANT STREET
PITTSBURGH PA 15219
(412) 350-4434

Appendix 2

The Superior Court of Pennsylvania
Ofice of the Prothonotary
1015 Grant Building
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219

David A. Szewczak, Esquire
Prothonotary
Eleanor R. Valecko
Deputy Prothonotary

(412) 565-7592

May 25, 2000

Ms. Eileen Vey
804 Cedar Avenue
Pittsbsurgh, PA 15212

IN RE: COMMONWEALTH OF PA VS EILEEN VEY
NO. 517 WDA 200

Dear Ms. Vey:

The court has entered the following order on your Petition for Relief filed in the above captiond matter.

"ORDER OF COURT

Upon consideration of the Motion for Immediate Prima Facie Habeas Corpus Relief, the motion is denied. The motion is not a substitute for filing a brief in accordance with the Rules of Appellate Procedure at the appropriate time.

PER CURIAM"

Very truly yours,

Deputy Prothonotary

ERV/ksj
Cc. Michael Streily, Esquire